CITY COUNCIL STUDY SESSION AGENDA
January 26, 2015

6:00 p.m. - Special Meeting: for the purpose of holding an Executive Session to discuss the performance of a public employee per RCW 42.30.110(1)(g)

7:00 p.m. – Study Session

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

4. CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE RECORD
   a. E-mail Dated December 18, 2014, and January 7, 2015, from Maria Langlais, Seattle King County Area Agency on Aging, with Response from Nhan Nguyen, Management Analyst, Regarding Preparing for an Aging Population. Page 3.
   b. Article Received January 5, 2015, from Sybil Davis Regarding Providing Housing for the Homeless. Page 7.
   e. Letter Received January 5, 2015, from Liz Giba Regarding Ordinance 606 and the King County Library System. Page 17.
   f. E-mail Dated January 5, 2015, from David Hutchinson Regarding 606. Page 19.
   g. E-mail Dated January 6, 2015, from Mary Cross Regarding Ordinance 606. Page 21.
   i. E-mail Dated January 13, 2015, from Jane Martin with Response from Michael Lafreniere, Burien Parks and Recreation Director, Regarding Cherry Tree – 144th and Ambaum. Page 27.

5. DISCUSSION ITEMS

COUNCILMEMBERS
Lucy Krakowiak, Mayor
Nancy Tosta, Deputy Mayor
Stephen Armstrong
Lauren Berkowitz
Bob Edgar
Gerald F. Robison
Debi Wagner

City Hall, 400 SW 152nd Street, 1st Floor
6. COUNCIL REPORTS
   a. Reports on the Ninth Annual Legislative Breakfast, the King County Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C), Regional Food Policy Council, and the Sound Cities Association (SCA) Public Issues Committee (PIC) Meetings by Deputy Mayor Tosta.  
   b. Report on the Soundside Alliance Meeting by Mayor Krakowiak.  

7. ADJOURNMENT
From: Langlais, Maria [mailto:Marla.Langlais@seattle.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 5:00 PM
To: Nhan Nguyen
Cc: Ava Frisinger; Meinig, Gigi
Subject: RE: Preparing for an Aging Population

Thanks Nhan. I appreciate your prompt follow up after our phone call yesterday, and it is wonderful to know that Burien’s comprehensive plan is addressing these critical issues.

I will let our Advisory Council members know about the options you presented.

In the meantime, we will keep you informed about upcoming events related to our Area Plan on Aging development process that may be of interest to Burien City leaders. Let me know if there is anyone else from your staff that I should include on the emails.

Thanks again,

Maria

Maria Langlais
Aging & Disability Services
Seattle King County Area Agency on Aging
Seattle Human Services Department
O: 206.684.0651 | F: 206.684-0689 | marla.langlais@seattle.gov
www.aginkingcounty.org

From: Nhan Nguyen [mailto:nhan@burienwa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 2:52 PM
To: Langlais, Maria
Cc: Public Council Inbox
Subject: RE: Preparing for an Aging Population

Dear Ms. Langlais,

Thank you for writing us on behalf of the Aging & Disability Services Advisory Council and their incoming Chair, Ava Frisinger. The Planning Department received your original letter on October 14, 2014 and provided copies to our Planning Commission during their deliberations on amendments to our Comprehensive Plan. Staff has reviewed your letter and is pleased to inform you that a significant amount of your comprehensive plan related comments or topical areas are captured within the plan in various sections including the land use, transportation, and housing elements. When sufficient resources are available we look forward to making

CFTR: 1/26/15

Staff Follow-up: Nhan Nguyen
management Analyst
progress on a number of the remaining topics and areas of concern you expressed in your letter. We encourage you to maintain an open dialog with the City so we may work together on solving our common challenges.

Regarding your request to make a presentation at a Council meeting, we aren’t able to find a time in the near future due to the busy Council schedules. There are a couple options that you may want to consider. First, you may attend a Council meeting and make a 2-minute statement during the public comment period. Second, you could submit materials to be included in the Correspondence for the Record which will be part of the Council’s package.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Nhan Nguyen
Management Analyst
Burien City Manager’s Office
206.439.3165

From: Langlais, Marla [mailto:Marla.Langlais@seattle.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2014 11:37 AM
To: Lucy Krakowiak
Cc: Karnuron Gurol; Meinig, Gigi; Ava Frisinger
Subject: Preparing for an Aging Population

Dear Mayor Krakowiak,

I am sending this letter and related attachments on behalf of the Aging & Disability Services Advisory Council and their incoming Chair, Ava Frisinger. Please let me know if you have any questions, and we look forward to connecting with you in 2015.

Sincerely,

Maria Langlais
Aging & Disability Services
Seattle King County Area Agency on Aging
Seattle Human Services Department
O: 206.684.0651 | F: 206.684-0689 | maria.langlais@seattle.gov
www.agingkingcounty.org

December 18, 2014

Dear Mayor Krakowiak,

As the incoming Chair of the Seattle-King County Advisory Council on Aging & Disability Services (ADS) I am reaching out to municipal leaders in an effort to inform them about the work of the Council and the local Area Agency on Aging (AAA) and to invite them to partner with us in preparing our communities for an aging population.
The ADS Advisory Council comprises representatives appointed by King County, Sound Cities, City of Seattle, and United Way of King County. We work in partnership with the AAA to identify the needs of older people and adults with disabilities, advise on services to meet these needs, and advocate for local, state and national programs that promote quality of life for these populations.

Municipal leaders can play an important role in supporting this work by preparing their communities to for the coming age wave. Attached is a fact sheet we developed to inform elected officials and decision makers about demographic challenges and opportunities. By 2035, 25% of King County’s residents will be age 60 and older, and our data indicates that the older populations living in south and east areas of King County are growing most rapidly.

We would like to meet with you to learn more about the aging related issues that your community may be facing. Your input will help inform the development of the next four year Area Plan on Aging (2016-2019). We would also appreciate the opportunity to give a short presentation to your City Council and other decision makers about the work of the AAA and the aging services network.

We will be following up in the next few weeks, following the holidays, to schedule a meeting time and to inquire about timing for a presentation to your City Council. In the meantime, however, please feel free to reach out directly either to me or to ADS staff who are assisting the council in coordinating this work:

- Gigi Meinig, 206-684-0652, gigi.meinig@seattle.gov
- Maria Langlais, 206-684-0651, maria.langlais@seattle.gov

We recently submitted comments to your city’s planning staff encouraging them to consider the needs and contributions of older adults in their comprehensive planning processes. I have attached that letter for your reference as it includes additional information about the current Area Plan on Aging and identifies specific ways that cities can help build elder friendly communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ava Frisinger, Regional Task Force Chair and Incoming Council Chair
Seattle-King County Advisory Council for Aging & Disability Services

Cc: City Manager / City Administrator

Attachments:
1. Preparing for the Age Wave
2. Comprehensive Plan Comment Letter
3. ADS Brochure
4. ADS Advisory Council Brochure
by 2015 With This One Simple Idea

Give them an apartment first, ask questions later.
Utah has reduced its rate of chronic homelessness by 74 percent over the past eight years, moving 2000 people off the street and putting the state on track to eradicate homelessness altogether by 2015. How’d they do it?
The state is giving away apartments, no strings attached. In 2005, Utah calculated the annual cost of E.R. visits and jail stays for an average homeless person was $16,670, while the cost of providing an apartment and social worker would be $11,000. Each participant works with a caseworker to become self-sufficient, but if they fail, they still get to keep their apartment.
MORE: How much food could be rescued if college dining halls saved their leftovers?
Other states are eager to emulate Utah’s results. Wyoming has seen its homeless population more than double in the past three years, and it only provides shelter for 26 percent of them, the lowest rate in the country. City officials in Casper, Wyoming, now plan to launch a pilot program using the methods of Utah’s Housing First program. There’s no telling how far the idea might go.
AND: If you want to hire someone to help the homeless, why not the formerly homeless?

Read more: http://nationswell.com/one-state-track-become-first-end-homelessness-2015/#ixzz3O0KlbHnv

1/5/15 Council Mtg.
Submitted by Sybil Davis

CFTR: 1/26/15
Cc: Nhan Nguyen, Management Analyst
January 5, 2015
To the Burien City Council

Ordinance 606/621 is a Trespass Ordinance that applies to all people. The spin that some people have put on it trying to claim that it is the criminalization of homelessness is not and was not the intent of the ordinance. The City needs to keep in place a Trespass Ordinance just as the other surrounding cities and unincorporated areas of the County have. If there is a need to amend it, then do so but keep the ordinance in some form.

Attached to this speaking piece are 2 articles about how King County has applied such an ordinance to clean up areas in White Center. But in doing so may very well have driven part of their population down into other adjoining cities. That is exactly what happened with the relocation of Nickelsville. Before Burien can address homelessness which is different than Ordinance 606/621, it needs to:

1. first, count its real homeless population as was suggested by Council Members Krakowiak and Edgar-2 years ago and see the attached articles about cleaning out the bog in White Center
2. work with adjoining cities and the County so that they don’t keep driving their homeless populations into Burien
3. create a collaborative strategy among all of the cities and County for where to direct people in need to for services
4. sort out the difference between the homelessness vs. the criminals
5. for the basic safety of all citizens have some laws to protect citizens, businesses and public locations.

By the way, the Evergreen Pool facility provides for hardship cases/people who want to use that facility for swimming and showers. Of course there are still rules governing the use of that facility and the users will have to fill out the application and abide by the rules.

Respectfully,
C. Edgar

Please put this letter in the next Council packet.

CFTR: 1/26/15
CC: Chris Bacha, Interim City Attorney
Video: New storefront deputy & bog-area encampments @ North Highline Unincorporated Area Council

November 11th, 2014 at 1:45 am Posted in North Highline UAC, White Center news | Comments Off

That’s our video of last Thursday’s North Highline Unincorporated Area Council meeting, with two major topics, plus some other updates:

KING COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE UPDATE: Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (explained here) is coming to White Center, announced Major Jerrell Wills, who says this is “a perfect location for it … another tool to help us help you … to address some of the homelessness, afflicted, mentally ill in our communities.” The state Department of Corrections has arrested some felons in WC, including “registered sex offenders that were not in compliance,” he said.

But the big attraction in the KCSO appearance (the sheriff himself, by the way, couldn’t be there after all) was the introduction of new Storefront Deputy Julian Chivington. “I’ve never had a job where I’ve had to do public speaking,” he warned. He talked about his background — born and raised in Ohio, served in the Army including “a couple tours in Iraq,” member of the KCSO SWAT team, six years in the Sheriff’s Office but new to this precinct.

He’s working “2 to 10 pm on a rotating schedule,” he noted. That’s a change from the four/10s that his predecessor Deputy BJ Myers worked. It’s a flexible schedule, Maj. Wills noted, so it can be adjusted for special events. But he’ll also be away at times for training and other duties related to the SWAT (Tactical) Team (including callouts). He *will* be at NHUAC meetings, as were his predecessors, he confirmed to NHUAC president Barbara Dobkin.

The discussion with KCSO reps also included safety concerns, one of which had taken up the first part of the meeting:

WHITE CENTER BOG ENCAMPMENT PROBLEMS: Wesley Chin from King County provided the first part of the update, including details of cleanup efforts — removing 6 truckloads of brush and debris, in hopes of discouraging encampments from returning. Assistance was also offered to those who had been illegally camping. It wasn’t just a matter of public safety, he said, but also a matter of protecting water quality. Ken Gresset also spoke, with more details about how they hope to “open up” the site in the next round of work, because, he said, it’s not the homeless people there who are the major problem, but criminals including sex offenders and drug addicts. So many hypodermic needles, in fact, that some volunteers can’t work there any more, he said — piles of them. They might try to get a grant to help pay for more cleanup.

In Q/A with NHUAC members, Gresset explained the problem isn’t just going to go away entirely — the area has so much accessibility and is convenient to services, such as the two drug stores just up Roxbury. Some of what he’s seen there, he said, was downright scary — even a “one-man underground bunker.”
Personnel shortage hits King County Sheriff's Office hard, precinct commander tells North Highline Unincorporated Area Council

September 7th, 2014 Tracy Posted in Crime, King County Sheriff’s Office, safety, White Center news | Comment »

By Tracy Record
White Center Now editor

Tough to have a meeting during a big game – but the issues before the North Highline Unincorporated Area Council couldn’t wait, and its Thursday night meeting went on as scheduled, despite the Seahawks’ concurrent home opener (ending, just before the meeting ended, with fireworks exploded by fans somewhere, audibly, nearby).

The marquee guest was King County Sheriff's Office precinct commander Major Jerrell Wills (photo above), speaking about changes in KCSO, including scheduling changes, and the storefront-deputy situation (as previously reported, Deputy BJ Myers has been promoted to a new role and is no longer in the storefront; West Hill, to the east, has lost its storefront deputy too).

Maj. Wills said two candidates initially had sought the North Highline position, and neither worked out; he posted the job again (along with West Hill) and had no applicants, so he reposted, and “still doesn’t have any interested applicants” though the second posting was about to expire.

He says, “I’m not inclined to just pick someone” – a community liaison is a position to which he doesn’t favor drafting an appointee, he says, so he plans to discuss it again with Sheriff John Urquhart – he will not repost it, but hopes the sheriff will be “open to some of my operational ideas.” But, he says, White Center does still have “what most communities don’t have” – a community service officer (Peter Truong).

Major Wills stressed repeatedly that the “storefront deputy” is not the only KCSO position that can respond to concerns. Asked about current staffing, 6 deputies are on in the area per shift – 2 on Vashon, 2 in White Center/North Highline, 2 in Skyway. That would be more, Maj. Wills said, except for the fact his precinct alone has eight vacancies – the personnel situation is not a budget problem, but a personnel shortage problem, he insisted, adding that retirements are hitting the KCSO hard; many are getting to 30 years of service. (He mentioned that he’s been serving for 26 years.)

“We’re fighting an uphill battle,” he said about the problem, “so now we’re in a situation we’re calling redeployment.” For example, detectives who might be in specialized areas are being “redeployed to supplant our lack of staffing just to keep us at six (in the precinct) each shift.” That’s been happening since July and the union has agreed to let them keep doing it through January – “just so we can get to minimum every day.” And yet the retirements and other departures keep coming, he said.
“If not for the (staffing shortage), would we have more deputies assigned to the community?” asked NHUAC president Barbara Dobkin. Yes, there would be more per shift, Maj. Wills said. “What would that number be?” he was asked, but he didn’t have the specific number. “Per shift you might have two to three additional people.”

The attrition/recruiting problems are not unique to KCSO, Maj. Wills said. He also pointed out that the process of going through the academy causes a fair number of dropouts. They want to fill the positions, he insisted, “it’s just a challenge.”

Council member Elizabeth Gordon then asked Maj. Wills about homelessness/graffiti problems in certain areas, and he said he didn’t know about those specific problems, but did have an update on the pond/bog area. “That’s been a source of homeless encampments for some time,” he said, for the entirety of the two years he’s been here. Now signage is posted “all over” to warn campers that clearing is coming – “signage everywhere to notify, you can’t be in here, this is not a campground. … That’s the first part, education,” he said. Next part is cleaning – “King County code enforcement has been actively partnering with us to clean up the hedges, etc.” The cleanup was expected to start the following day and “they’re going to cut a road” so deputies can drive into the area, he added.

Once it’s been cleaned up, “then we’re going to go in and identify the people who are in there illegally and serve them with written notice that ‘you, John Doe, are no longer able to come back here … you’ve been warned’.” Then Community Service Officer Truong will help with figuring out some possible services/referrals for the people who are there: “We can’t arrest our way out of this,” declared Maj. Wills, so they hope to find housing/services for campers rather than just hauling them off to jail.
Hi Monica Lusk,

At the last Council meeting, Jan. 5, 2015, I spoke about Ordinance 606 which is about Trespass in the City. In addition I spoke about the separate issue of the homeless in the City of Burien. I turned in a letter to you as time did not permit me to cover all that I wanted to refer to the Council. Attached to that letter were two articles on the homeless in Seattle and King County being moved out of their areas.

It is not clear exactly where these individuals are moving to but it may be causing them to re-locate in Burien. So it may be the case of one jurisdiction just moving out homeless people to another jurisdiction-like Burien—that is exasperating the situation in Burien.

The below attached article came out after the Jan. 5, 2015 meeting but is about that same topic. I am requesting that you attach this article to the other articles I included for the next Council packet.

Thank You,

C. Edgar

County cleans up a homeless camp

County clears drug and illegal camping debris

01/12/2015

County crews were at work last week clearing brush on a six-acre site the County owns in an effort to reduce illegal drug and alcohol use. Last year the Sheriff's Department responded to 913 complaints related to the site, and county crews and volunteers removed 8 tons of debris related to illegal camping on the site. The County is also building a patrol road into the site for use by the Sheriff's Department in addition to removing brush to increase visibility into the site for the deputies. The site is located in White Center below what once was the White Center Heights housing project. The project was first built during World War II to house defense workers. It is now in its third revision. It is situated between Roxbury streets, the White Center Business district up to 15th Ave. S.W. and 100th Street.

Photo gallery for this story
January 5, 2015
To the Burien City Council
Re: Behavior of Council Members and Advisory Board Members in the Public Media when they are representatives of the City of Burien

To the Burien City Council Members;

The Council needs to enforce the Code/Rules of Conduct for its members as well as for members of Advisory Boards to return civility to the way the City operates and is publicly perceived. See the attached open public meeting posting where one Council member has renamed Ordinance 606-The Criminalization of the Homeless and at the Dec. 15, 2014 meeting that same Council member continued to interrupt another Council member trying to speak; even after being asked to stop interrupting. Also there is a member of one of the Advisory Boards that continues to publicly and obscenely swear at Council members and the citizens of Burien in the public media domain.

Any citizen who stood before the Council or an Advisory Board and did these things would be warned or removed from the meeting. A Council member renaming an Ordinance publicly to purposefully embarrass, humiliate, incite civil disobedience or accuse other members or citizens of illegal acts when none has occurred warrants warning or sanction.

It is my understanding that members of the Council as well as members of the Advisory Boards serve as representatives of the City by their titles and positions. They believe they are because some of them post these titles on their open Facebook pages, open Twitter pages, sign letters to other agencies as such and use the title and position in their online vitas.

As such they have a responsibility to act in a civil and respectful manner even when they don’t agree with a law, ordinance or opinion of another governing public agency. Also, they are supposed to act by a code of ethics, which I believe the previous Councils have written and by Roberts Rules of Order for meetings.

The inappropriate behaviors that have been displayed by these individuals are behaviors classified as demeaning, harassing, humiliating, bullying and obscene. They have been directed toward other Council Members, City Staff, citizens and the citizenry of the City as a whole.

The Council needs to enforce its Code/Rules of Conduct or Rules of Behaviors for its members and Advisory Board members.

Respectfully,

LINDA PLEIN

Please place this letter in the packet for the next Council meeting and for the Public Record.

LINDA PLEIN & LEN BOSCARINE
CFTR: 11/26/15
JAN 05 Ordinance 606 Council Meeting - Criminalization of Homelessness

Public · Volunteering · Hosted by Lauren Berkowitz for Burien City Council

Guests
0 going  0 maybe  59 invited

Monday, January 5 at 6:45pm in PST
Tomorrow · 11°C / 7°C Rain

Show Map
City of Burien Government
400 SW 152nd Street, Suite 300, Burien, Washington 98166

Monday, January 5, at 7pm, Ordinance 606 (criminalization of homelessness) is on the agenda for reconsideration and possible repeal, with changes made by the City.

On December 9, 2014, the City Manager made the following statement by email: "we are taking an incremental approach to enforcement by focusing on issuing trespass warning for only those behavior problems that are violations of long-standing city rules or codes, for example overnight camping in city parks, ... So far, I'm told we have issued only about 20 trespass warning in total, for problems like camping..."

Join me in my outrage! Homeless people have the right to exist, including sleeping, yet in the coldest months of the year, the City of Burien was trespassing them for sleeping in public parks.

The proposed ordinance and a letter from the city manager are on page 45 of the council packet, available here: http://www.burienwa.gov/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Agenda/01052015-63

News Feed

Lauren Berkowitz for Burien City Council changed the name of the event to "Ordinance 606 Council Meeting - Criminalization of Homelessness".
4 hrs
Like · Comment

Lauren Berkowitz for Burien City Council created the event.
8 hrs
Like · Comment · 1
Good evening. I am Liz Giba. I live in White Center. As a King County resident and taxpayer, I am very interested in the part the King County Library System, commonly known as KCLS, has played in Burien Ordinance 606. I am also very interested in the new White Center Library, which is still not even started. I hope you all know that KCLS bought land for the library in the unincorporated part of White Center. This means – assuming it is ever built and we are annexed by Seattle - that it will likely become the property of Seattle. I have a number of issues with that location. Including the fact that Burien taxpayers will lose a library that they voted for and have been paying for since 2004. One of my main concerns is safety. Last fall, I exchanged emails about my concerns with Julie Acteson, the Interim Director of KCLS. On September 30th, I wrote:

"... the site is not on the main street through White Center. However, it is on one of the primary streets used by vagrants and homeless. Your plan will position the library to encourage interaction between elementary school children and people who have few options for shelter and bathroom use. How does KCLS intend to deal with this situation?"

Julie’s initial response did not answer that question so I sent her another email and said:

"...I am still concerned about the plan to deal with this once the library is open. I assume that’s a decision to be made by the Board. Hopefully, they do not expect King County to adopt a "smelly people" ordinance as was recently done in Burien...." This time, I got an answer. Julie said: "we would never adopt such an Ordinance, we do not ban people based on appearances or who they are and only when they exhibit a behavior that is unsafe or disruptive to staff or patrons."

Apparently, KCLS also has problems with this ordinance, which discriminates based on appearance or odor or who they are... in this case clearly homeless people with limited or no options. Please reconsider your decision. Otherwise, I would hope that you would provide a place for the homeless in your community to bathe and wash their clothes. If that is not feasible maybe you should provide public access to Lake Burien. Thank you.

Liz Giba

CFTR: 11/20/15
CC: Chris Bacha, Interim City Attorney
Carol Allread

From: Public Council Inbox
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:28 PM
To: drhutchi@comcast.net
Subject: FW: 606

Dear Mr. Hutchinson,

Thank you for writing to the City Council to express your concerns. Your email will be included in a future Council agenda packet as Correspondence for the Record.

Sincerely,

Carol Allread

From: Monica Lusk
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 2:36 PM
To: Public Council Inbox
Subject: FW: 606

From: Kamuron Gurol
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 1:19 PM
To: Monica Lusk
Subject: FW: 606

Public comment

From: David Hutchinson [mailto:drhutchi@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 1:07 PM
To: Stephen Armstrong; Lauren Berkowitz; Debi Wagner; Kamuron Gurol
Subject: Fwd: 606

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Hutchinson <drhutchi@comcast.net>
Date: January 5, 2015 at 12:59:38 PM PST
To: "ntosta@comcast.net" <ntosta@comcast.net>
Cc: "lucyk@burienwa.gov" <lucyk@burienwa.gov>, "jerryr@burienwa.gov" <jerryr@burienwa.gov>, "bobe@burienwa.gov" <bobe@burienwa.gov>
Subject: 606

Nancy: My comment on revised Ord. 606.
1. The deletion of body odor, etc. are right on.
2. I think the responsibility for citing a person for violating the ordinance should be limited to the
police who are schooled in judging what a violation of law is. City staff and members of the public are not.

3. I'm not sure what the penalty is if a person is found guilty but all options should be available to the person making this judgement. That is fine, community service or other punishment that might fit the crime and the ability of the person found guilty. I should point out that many poor people cannot pay a fine because they lack the income. In Wisconsin and many other States/cities a late payment of a fine can snowball into jail time and an unresolvable cycle of punishment which screws up the effectiveness of the governing body.

Thanks for sharing. Please send your next request for comment directly to me as well as Sally. It will relieve her of this responsibility to pass it on. Dave Hutchinson, BTC

Sent from my iPhone
I just heard about your vote last night.

It seems there is still a majority on the Burien City Council [along with the mayor] who think it’s ok to criminalize homelessness.

Please understand that this ordinance must be overturned, and the City Council of Burien must embrace a policy on homelessness that is both realistic and humane.

This issue is not over and won’t be until homelessness is no more.

Happy New Year,

Mrs. Mary Cross

Cc: Chris Bacha, Interim City Attorney

CFTR: 1/26/15
Dear Ms. Plein,

Thank you for writing to the City Council to express your concerns. Your email will be included in a future Council agenda packet as Correspondence for the Record.

Sincerely,

Carol Allread
Executive Assistant

From: Linda Plein [mailto:lindaplein12@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 9:02 AM
To: Bob Edgar; Stephen Armstrong; Lauren Berkowitz; Jerry Robison; Nancy Tosta; Debi Wagner; Lucy Krakowiak; Kamuron Gurol; Monica Lusk
Subject: Letter for City Council

January 12, 2015
To the Burien City Council
To the Burien City Manager
Re: Council behavior, Council guidelines and length of Council meetings

Having attended the last three Council meetings there are some processes and procedures that concern me as a citizen. Below is the discussion of those issues;

1. The Council has a document it has developed titled “City Council Meeting Guidelines, 2013.” But the Council appears to not be operating according to sections of that document.

2. Section 2.6, Public Comments is not being followed by the Council. At the beginning of 2014, the Council proposed to change the assigned times for public comment but only as an experiment to last 6 months and then it would be re-evaluated. The 6 month time frame came and past and the Council never reviewed the item and never changed the meeting guidelines to reflect the temporary changes that were put in place. (Perhaps the constant insistence for excessive wordsmithing of the Economic Development and the Budget documents by some members of the Council, kept the Council from having time to attend to the guidelines.) Presently it appears that the Council is not operating within the real guidelines that were adopted in 2013-by vote of the Council. While some Council members might try to use Section 23, Suspensions
Amendments of Guidelines as an excuse as to why they don’t have to follow the guidelines, it confuses the Public and appears that the Council is not really operating by their real adopted rules.

3. The Council meetings have been running an excessively long amount of time. This exhausts members of the Public who attend and try to participate in City business. This discourages citizen interest in City operations which are keystone behaviors to maintaining an informed voting public. It probably exhausts some Council members and City staff also which can interfere with the best possible work for the City from being done. The cause for these lengthy meetings appears, to the Public, to be; the unwillingness of some Council members to be individually responsible to gather additional information by calling staff in advance with questions prior to the meetings, not limiting the length of their discussions/opinions, attempting to write new ordinances during the Council meeting, (which is not allowed per the rules of guidelines) repeating/re-stating their personal opinion over and over again and repeated attempts to amend part of the Council meeting and processes that are not amendable. See Section 2.6, Public Comments; Section 4, 4.7, Council Discussion and Decision Making Process; Section 7, 7.1, 7.2, Ordinances. This hampers the Mayor from following Section 4, 4.4, “The Mayor will facilitate the discussion in a timely manner and Council members will try to be cognizant of the amount of the time the discussion is taking.”

For example, the Council meeting of Jan. 5, 2015 appeared to be a filibuster session lead by 3 Council members on the wording of Ordinance 606 in which one of the 3 Council members, who lead the most changes, claimed he had not intended to vote for the ordinance anyway. What happened there did not seem to be a good faith effort to follow Section 4.4. As a result, the length of the meeting time kept being extended for no seemingly beneficial goal or purpose but simply to waste the time of other council members, city staff and the public. That meeting ended at close to 11pm.

4. During the start of the Jan. 5, 2015 meeting, one citizen gave Public Comment praising 4 Council members for their previous work. Shortly after that citizen spoke, 2 Council members objected (by yelling out at the Mayor without raising their hands) to praising Council members by trying to apply the intent of Section 5, 5.1 to the issue of praise. Section 5.1 references negative comments and not positive comments. Further, the yelling out behaviors appear to be a violation of Roberts Rules of Order.

5. Other sections of the guidelines that appear are not being followed by some of the Council members are Section 9, 9.1, 9.3 and Section 14 Council Representation. Specifically, some comments and questions directed toward the City staff at both the Dec. 15, 2014 and Jan. 5, 2015 meetings by some Council members appeared to be argumentative and hostile, demanding and demeaning toward the City staff. This does not appear to be in compliance with the intent of Section 9 of the guidelines.

6. The Council stated that it was going to write some language and guidelines for the use of electronic devices during Council meetings and as public media transmission devices. That rule writing never happened and the use of cell phones and extensive public messaging/texting by a Council
member continues and appears to be a special, non-transparent signalling system (during the Council meetings) between one Council member and her special circle of friends and associates. Public meetings and the actions taken are supposed to be open and transparent to all of the public, RCW 42.30.020.

The Council, City and the Public would be better served if, all the Council members read the guidelines, finalized the proposed amendments to the guidelines started in 2014 and then made a good faith effort to follow the guidelines, as they ask the Public to do. This would probably lead to shorter and more productive meetings, improved decision making on issues and remove public confusion over what are the Council guidelines for operation.

Please work on making your meetings shorter and more productive.

Respectfully,
L. Plein

Please place this letter in the next Council packet.
Dear Ms. Martin,

Thanks for contacting us about your concerns. I want to let you know that I’ve been in contact with Dave Barber, the Manager of the Real Estate Services Division at Seattle City Light (SCL) and have relayed your concerns. These projects are being overseen by Tom Meyer with SCL.

It is my understanding that the former Ambaum substation site is one of several that they are planning or currently doing contamination cleanup and soil remediation, most in Seattle. In most cases, they’re planning to vacate the soil and leave the trees intact, or are modifying their plans based on feedback the receive. (If you’re not familiar with it, vacating involves a large industrial truck-mounted piece of equipment that uses a vacuum to excavate soil and material.) SCL is now in the process of securing the services of an arborist to assess whether the cherry tree can survive a vacate process.

SCL has filed permit applications with the City of Burien for clearing-and-grading as well as for work in an adjacent right-of-way. Those applications are under review and will be processed as soon as we have more information from SCL; we are now waiting for that information. In the meantime, I would encourage you to call Dave and Tom at SCL to express your concerns directly. Here is the contact information you’ll need:

- Dave Barber: [www.seattle.gov/directory/detail.asp?ID=29175]
- Tom Meyer: [www.seattle.gov/directory/detail.asp?ID=138948]

If I learn of any further developments, I will update you accordingly.

Michael Lafreniere, Director
Burien Parks and Recreation
206-988-3700

From: Jane Martin [mailto:jvmartin@seanet.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 11:36 PM
To: Public Council Inbox
Subject: Cherry Tree - 144th and Ambaum

I was saddened to learn today that the City of Seattle plans to remove all the trees on the NW corner of 144th and Ambaum in Burien. The site is apparently contaminated so this is a cleanup effort by Seattle City Light. One of those trees is right on the corner of the lot and is more beautiful than most of the Cherry trees in Golden Gate Park in San Francisco, a park known for its cherry trees.

I stopped and asked the Environmental Analyst at the site today if it would be possible to leave that tree since there are ways to mitigate soil contamination around one tree on the edge of a lot like that. She said she would ask and get back to me. I have not heard back from her.

I’m not very optimistic that anyone related to City of Seattle or Burien will get involved and try to save this one tree given our track record with tree removal, but if you could convince someone with the City of Seattle to look into this before the tree is destroyed, please do so. It is probably the most beautiful cherry tree in Burien and lights that corner up with blossoms every spring.

I have informed the various neighborhoods on the Nextdoor Neighbor website about this and people are upset. It just seems so depressing to see the persistent creeping destruction of everything.
beautiful around us as more and more rather ugly unfriendly buildings infringe on what little is left of our natural world. I wish Seattle City Light was as concerned about the persistent pesticide risks posed in most of our food as they are about suddenly destroying a beautiful tree that could be saved. Find a creative arborist. Remove and replace soil. Surround the tree with fresh soil and cement. Whatever will work. The tree matters to a lot of us. Is there anything you are willing to do to prevent it's destruction?

Here's what someone else on the group says about the cherry tree info I posted today:

"Thank you so much for trying to save the cherry tree!!! It is my favorite tree, and I always have a picture of it on my refrigerator every spring! I love it!! Can give me a specific name of who I should call, and what details I should give that would help save the tree??"

Thank you for considering this.

Jane
13713 – 16th Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166
Jane Martin
206-551-7718 Cell
**Agenda Subject:** Prioritization of Economic Development Actions.

**Meeting Date:** January 26, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department:</th>
<th>Attachments: Economic Development Goals and Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contact:**
Dan Trimble, Economic Development Manager

**Telephone:** (206) 248-5528

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adopted Work Plan</th>
<th>Work Plan Item Description:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority: Yes X No</td>
<td>Economic Development Goals and Actions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose/Required Action:**

The purpose of this agenda item is for the City Council to set priorities from the previously adopted Economic Development Goals and Actions and provide staff with direction on resources and staffing.

**Background (Include prior Council action & discussion):**

Council approved the Economic Development Goals and Actions at the November 18, 2014 Council Meeting with direction to staff to bring back the actions in January 2015 for prioritization. The Council also approved the 2015-2016 budget at the December 1, 2014 meeting with changes to the B&O tax that resulted in a net revenue increase of approximately $400,000. The Council also approved a policy statement that dedicated those funds to Economic Development. The 2015-2016 budget also included a placeholder Economic Development Specialist position that the Council would provide direction to the City Manager as part of the Action prioritization process.

In order to facilitate the prioritization process, it is suggested that each Council member identify five actions they would like to focus on. The second step would be a discussion about these Actions. The last step would be to rank a first tier of the top 5 Actions that the Council would like to see staff resources, funds, Council agenda time dedicated to in 2015. The second tier would include the remaining Actions with another opportunity to revisit the list in early 2016. Based on Council direction Staff will prepare a 2015 Economic Development work plan.

**Options (Including fiscal impacts):**

1. Prioritize the Economic Development Actions and direct Staff to prepare a work plan and allocate resources accordingly.
2. Begin prioritization discussion and continue it to a future meeting date.

**Administrative Recommendation:** N/A

**Advisory Board Recommendation:** N/A

**Suggested Motion:** N/A

Submitted by: Dan Trimble Administration

City Manager

**Today’s Date:** January 21, 2015

**File Code:** R:\CC\Agenda Bill 2015\012615cm-1 Econ Dev Actions.docx
**Economic Development Goals and Actions**  
City Council Adopted November 18, 2014; Study Session January 26, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Community Support</th>
<th>Staff Comments</th>
<th>Council Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|      | Goal A: Attract and retain businesses through an active business retention and recruitment program | 1. Develop a business retention and recruitment program that addresses the following:  
   - What can the city do to help Burien businesses prosper?  
   - What would entice businesses to locate in Burien?  
   - What kinds of businesses would citizens like to see in Burien and in their neighborhoods?  
   - What kinds of businesses/attractions would entice regional and local consumers to visit and shop in Burien?  
   - Track business proposals received by City staff, including who applies, whether they open a business, and if not, why not. | BEDP  
   - Parks Board | Planning Commission  
   - Parks Board  
   - Dialog | This action would be one of the primary activities of the Economic Development Specialist position that was proposed in the budget. Most requested service enhancements requested by the business community. |
|      |      | 2. Promote infill developments, fill storefronts, pursue new development (multi-story buildings), and expand existing businesses. | | | City efforts are best used toward removing roadblocks, creating incentives, building infrastructure, and promoting partnerships. Complimentary action to #1. |
|      |      | 3. Profile Burien's businesses – types, number of employees, average revenue and for those that have left/failed – the reasons why. | | | This action would be one of the primary activities of the Economic Development Specialist position that was proposed in the budget and is a City service enhancement requested by the business community. Complimentary action to #16. |
|      |      | 4. Assess impediments to development and remove/modify as needed. | BEDP  
   - Dialog | | List identified. Staff recommends seeking business comment on priorities. |
|      |      | 5. Promote socially responsible businesses. | | | Staff would research mechanisms and opportunities to promote specific categories of businesses, and report to Council. |

Date: 1/26/2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Community Support</th>
<th>Staff Comments</th>
<th>Council Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Goal A1: Pursue major developments that have a positive, large-scale impact on Burien's economic base</td>
<td>6. Explore options for attracting hotels to Burien, including co-location with conference, training, or performing arts facility. Identify sites, constraints, opportunities, and needed resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In addition to tourism revenues and benefits, hotels provide support services to businesses. This would improve our ability to attract larger employers. The performing arts component would strengthen our arts base and bring in additional visitors that support our retail and restaurant establishments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Build out the Northeast Redevelopment Area with industrial/commercial development, including R&amp;D firms and hi-tech manufacturing and other suitable businesses.</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is an important time for the NERA development as the infrastructure is improving and development interest is growing. Working on grants, additional infrastructure improvements and developer/business recruitment should be on-going in 2015-2016. FAA Grant will help fund design.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Explore options for major developments on First Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunities for redevelopment continue to exist along 1st Avenue. Additional work would need to be done to identify those parcels that are, in the near term, more likely to redevelop. City would work with those property owners to recruit new development. Complimentary to #6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Explore creative zoning that enables development opportunities that support the community vision and values.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff would research and bring back to Council creative zoning models from other communities that could have a positive application in Burien.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: 1/26/2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>Community Support</th>
<th>Staff Comments</th>
<th>Council Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Goal A2: Identify, support, and expand Burien’s base of small businesses that contribute to the culture, diversity, and resiliency of our community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Create an inventory of properties to develop an understanding of potential opportunities for development. Document ownership, patterns of use, constraints, potential challenges, and strengths of such properties for community and Council examination and discussion.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff to research options on how best to accomplish this.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Discuss potential incentives and policies the Council might implement – e.g., food innovation districts, cultural districts that can encourage and support the types of small businesses the community desires.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff would research and bring back to Council potential incentives and policies from other communities that could be applied to Burien.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Consider partnerships for small business programs to identify opportunities to train and develop small-business entrepreneurs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff would identify efforts to enhance the small business support services that are currently provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Explore what city staff can do to support or provide assistance to small businesses. Make it easier to start a business and become more friendly and supportive of existing businesses/property owners/developers.</td>
<td></td>
<td>This effort would be a mix of service enhancements, impediment removal, and branding/marketing improvements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>Community Support</td>
<td>Staff Comments</td>
<td>Council Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Goal B: Enhance the branding and marketing of Burien as a family friendly community, built on our economic, cultural and natural assets</strong></td>
<td>14. Develop a Burien Brand.</td>
<td>• Planning Commission</td>
<td>This is one of the most requested service enhancements by the business community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15. Amplify marketing opportunities and assess community assets including: marketing Seahurst Park as a destination; and capitalizing on proximity to SeaTac airport and the City of Seattle; and, Burien’s ethnic diversity.</td>
<td>• BEDP and Arts Commission</td>
<td>This is an important follow on action on #14. This is where the branding effort would pay off over the long term.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16. Conduct market research including developing an understanding of approaches that could encourage more local purchasing.</td>
<td>• Parks Board</td>
<td>Staff has contracted with Discover Burien to initiate annual business and customer surveys for 2015 and 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17. Support a Shop Burien campaign such as expanding public markets, including the farmers market, and showcase local restaurants and local arts. Review and assess approaches in other cities.</td>
<td>• Dialog</td>
<td>Staff would work with local businesses, local media, and Discover Burien to pursue promotional opportunities for a Shop Burien campaign.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18. Pursue family and youth recreation/entertainment opportunities.</td>
<td></td>
<td>This would be identified as a high priority under the business recruitment effort including possible incentives where appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19. Promote Burien as a safe community.</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is an on-going priority and is done in cooperation with our PD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Goal C: Strengthen the customer base in the City of Burien</td>
<td>Community Support</td>
<td>Staff Comments</td>
<td>Council Direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Encourage a diversity of housing options citywide.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consistent with our Comprehensive Plan, staff will continue to work with a variety of developers seeking a variety of housing developments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Evaluate and encourage best practices, including paid sick leave, for ensuring that Burien is home to a strong workforce with a high standard of living to help recruit and retain labor.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff would research best practices from peer communities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Lobby to address minimum wage at the state level.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modify Legislative Agenda as needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Examine including project labor agreements and community workforce agreements in contracts whenever practicable and financially/legal viability.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff would research best practices from peer communities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Determine and endeavor to pay all City of Burien employees a living wage.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Compensation survey is scheduled for 2016.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Actively support Highline Public Schools.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Council passed resolution last October to support capital improvements. Continue to work with the District to support improvements to facilities and educational outcomes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sub-Goal C2: Improve multi-modal transportation availability and choices | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 26. Initiate a parking study identifying current on-and-off street parking supply and demand, core parking challenges, and strategies and tools to reduce parking barriers to revitalization. Explore options including a parking and Business Improvement District and more public parking structures. |                  | Staff would work with local businesses and consultants to address key issues related to the supply and demand for parking spaces in key business districts. Effort should be considered along with #27. | |
| 27. Work with King County and other regional entities to improve and expand pedestrian, bike, mass transit, and other non-automobile modes of transportation and ensure inequities are addressed. | Planning Commission | Staff would continue to work with local and regional partners to improve multi-modal options in both current developments and long range plans. | Dialog |

| Sub-Goal C3: Explore options to create more types of wealth and enhance livelihood opportunities | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 28. Clarify potential role of the city in promoting more types of wealth and enhance livelihood opportunities. |                  | Staff would research best practices from peer communities. | |
| 29. Explore options for community ownership and financing in economic development projects in the City. |                  | Staff would research best practices from peer communities. | |
**Agenda Subject:** Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule  

**Meeting Date:** January 26, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department:</th>
<th>City Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>Monica Lusk, City Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>(206) 248-5517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopted Initiative:</td>
<td>Yes No X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachments:**
- Proposed Meeting Schedule

**Fund Source:** N/A  
**Activity Cost:** N/A  
**Amount Budgeted:** N/A  
**Unencumbered Budget Authority:** N/A

**PURPOSE/REQUIRED ACTION:**

The purpose of this agenda item is for Council to review the proposed City Council meeting schedule. New items or items that have been rescheduled are in bold.

**BACKGROUND (Include prior Council action & discussion):**

Per the City Council Meeting Guidelines, the proposed meeting schedule is reviewed at each meeting.

**OPTIONS (Including fiscal impacts):**

1. Review the schedule and add, delete, or move items.  
2. Review the schedule and make no modifications.

**Administrative Recommendation:** Review the schedule and provide direction to staff.

**Advisory Board Recommendation:** N/A

**Suggested Motion:** None required.

**Submitted by:** Administration  
**City Manager**

**Today’s Date:** January 21, 2015

**File Code:** R./CC/Agenda Bills 2015/012615cm-2 Rev
**Agenda Schedule**
CITY OF BURIEN
COUNCIL PROPOSED AGENDA SCHEDULE
2015

February 2, 6:30 pm Executive Session - discuss the performance of a public employee per RCW 42.30.110(1)(g)

7 pm Regular Meeting
Recology CleanScapes Update.
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 1/5/15)
Discussion on Resolution No. 361, Supporting the Let's Move! Cities, Towns and Counties (LMCTC) Initiative.
(City Manager – Council direction on 10/28/14, Rescheduled from 12/15/14 & 1/5/15)
Motion to Authorize the City Manager to Execute the Interlocal Agreement with King County-Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C).
(City Manager)
Motion to Appoint a Representative and Alternate to the King County-Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C).
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 12/15/14)
Motion to Approve Burien City Council Appointments to Local and Regional Governmental Organizations for 2015.
(City Manager)
Discussion on Citizen of the Year Award Qualifying Criteria.
(City Manager – Council direction on 5/19, Rescheduled from 12/1/14)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

February 16, 7 pm Regular Meeting – CANCELED – Presidents’ Day Holiday

February 23, TENTATIVE 6:30 pm Special Meeting – Meet and Greet with Regional Leaders

7 pm Study Session
Discussion on Regional Issues.
(City Manager)
Discussion on Burien Alternative Transit Service Proposal.
(Community Development)
Discussion on Roadmap to Address Homelessness.
(City Manager)
Discussion on Selection Criteria for the Arts Commission, Parks and Recreation Board, and Business and Economic Development Partnership.
(City Manager)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

March 2, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Presentation on the Rainier Prep School by Founding Leader Maggie O'Sullivan.
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 1/5)
Motion to Adopt Resolution No. 361, Supporting the Let's Move! Cities, Towns and Counties (LMCTC) Initiative.
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 1/5 & 2/5)
Motion to Adopt Resolution No. xxx, Relating to the Citizen of the Year Award Qualifying Criteria.
(City Manager)
Discussion of Board and Commissions Handbook, and Review and Approval of Proposed Code of Conduct.
(City Manager, Community Development, Parks)
Discussion City Council Meeting Guidelines.
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 2/23/15)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)
March 9, TENTATIVE Special Meeting – Advisory Board (AC, BEDP, PKS BD) Interviews

March 16, 6:30 p.m. – Special Meeting: to discuss naming the 2015 Citizen(s) of the Year Award Recipient(s)

7 pm Regular Meeting
Motion to Approve Appointments to Arts Commission, Business Economic Development Partnership (BEDP), and Parks and Recreation Board.
(City Manager)
Motion on Naming the 2015 Annual Citizen(s) of the Year Award Recipient(s).
(City Manager)
Motion to Adopt City Council Meeting Guidelines.
(City Manager – Rescheduled from 3/2/15)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

March 23, 7 pm Study Session
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

April 6, 7 pm Regular Meeting
7:05 p.m. – 7:35 pm – Reception Honoring Citizens of the Year and Outgoing Advisory Board Members
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

April 20, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

April 27, 7 pm Study Session
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

May 4, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

May 18, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

May 25, Study Session – CANCELED (Memorial Day Holiday)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

SUMMER SCHEDULE (June – August)

June 1, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Public Hearing and Discussion on the 2016 through 2021 Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program.
(Public Works)
Presentation of the 2014 Annual Police Report Summary.
(Police)
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)
June 15, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Motion to Approve Resolution No. xxx, Adopting the 2016 through 2021 Six-Year Transportation Improvement Program.
   (Public Works)
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule. (City Manager)

July 6, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

July 20, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

August 3, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

August 17, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

September 7, Regular Meeting — CANCELED (Labor Day Holiday)

September 21, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

September 28, 7 pm Study Session
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

October 5, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

October 19, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

October 28, 7 pm Study Session
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

November 2, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

November 16, 7 pm Regular Meeting
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)

November 23, 7 pm Study Session
   Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
   (City Manager)
December 7, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

December 21, 7 pm Regular Meeting
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

December 28, 7 pm Study Session
Review of Council Proposed Agenda Schedule.
(City Manager)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS (Identified by Council)

a. Discussion on Mandatory Garbage Service for Businesses (Council direction on 4/28/14)
b. Report on Significant Tree Retention Policies (Council direction on 4/28/14)
c. Discussion on Council Term Limits (Legal and City Manager – Council direction on 6/2/14)
d. Follow Up on Animal Control Issues (Council direction on 9/15/14)
e. Discussion on Wi-Fi in Common Areas (Council direction on 9/15/14)
f. Discussion on Developing Youth Council (Council direction on 9/22/14)
g. Discussion on Requesting the State to Conduct an In-Depth Study of the Effects of Toxic Materials from the Airport/Aircraft (Council direction on 9/22/14)
h. Discussion on Plastic Bag Ban (Council direction on 11/17/14)
i. B&O Tax (Council direction on 11/17/14)
j. Discussion on Actively Engaging the Advisory Boards to Address Some of the Council Priorities (e.g. Arts District) (Council direction on 12/1/14)
k. Discussion on Community Recreation Center (Council direction on 12/1/14)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS (identified by Staff)

1. BMC Revisions Regarding Right-of-Way (Staff on 10/14/14)
2. NERA Surface Water Maintenance, Operation and Hook-Up Fees (Staff on 10/14/14)
3. Presentation and Discussion of Ordinance No. xxx, Adopting the 2014 National Electrical Code (Staff on 12/16/14)
4. Amending Zoning Code Related to Various Minor Zoning Code Text and Keeping of Animals (Staff on 12/16/14)
5. Revisions to BMC Concerning Low Impact Development (Staff on 1/7/15)
6. Additional Budget Authority for Custodial Services Contract (Staff on 1/8/15)
7. Council Update on Off-leash Dog Park Project (Staff on 1/8/15)
8. Arts Commission Recommendations on 1%-for-Art Fund (Staff on 1/8/15)
9. Selection of CDBG Project and Approval of Funding Application (Staff on 1/8/15)
10. Mid-biennial Budget Amendment Presentation(s) and Adoption (Staff on 1/8/15)
11. Two Public Hearings for Mid-Biennial Budget Amendment (Staff on 1/8/15)
12. 2016 Financial Policy Presentation and Adoption (Staff on 1/8/15)
13. Property Tax Ordinance Presentation and Adoption (Staff on 1/8/15)
14. Surface Water Management Fee Presentation and Adoption (Staff on 1/8/15)
15. Appoint Voting Delegate to the 2015 Association of Washington Cities (AWC) Annual Business Meeting (June - if Council attends) (Staff on 1/8/15)
16. 2016 Federal and State Legislative Priorities (October) (Staff on 1/8/15)
17. Public Works Fee Schedule Modifications (Staff on 1/9/15)
18. Addressing Impediments to Development (Staff on 1/9/15)
19. Establishing Development Fee Implementation Dates and Credit Card Limits (Staff on 1/9/15)
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS (identified by Staff) cont’d.

20. Development Permit Technology Fee In Anticipation of Permit Tracking Software Acquisition and Maintenance (Staff on 1/9/15)
21. Critical Area Regulations BAS/Gap Analysis Update and Amendment (Staff on 1/9/15)
22. Submittal of Comprehensive Plan to PSRC/DOC for Preliminary Review and Final Acceptance (Staff on 1/9/15)
23. 2015 Comprehensive Plan Docket including Individual and Area Rezone Requests (Staff on 1/9/15)
24. BMC Airport Sound Code Update to Reflect Completion of Part 150 Noise Study (Staff on 1/9/15)
25. Sign Code Amendment Relating to Temporary Signs (Pending SCOTUS City of Gilbert Ruling) (Staff on 1/9/15)
26. 2015 – 2016 Permit Tracking System Modification/Replacement (Including Electronic Permit Integration) (Staff on 1/9/15)
27. Late 2015 – 2016 Title 17 Subdivision Code Major Revision (Staff on 1/9/15)
29. Late 2015 – 2016 Downtown Center Planning Effort (Consolidation of Downtown Vision, Policies and Actions with Outside Planning Assistance, incorporating issues such as Hotel/Entertainment/Arts District, Parking, Traffic Flow and Street Network, Pedestrian Way Finding, Sidewalk Art and Park Space with Participation by all City Departments, Downtown focused Organizations and Businesses) (Staff on 1/9/15)
30. Council Adoption of Criteria for Use of Capital Partnership Fund (Public Works/Finance on 1/10/15)
31. Potential Staffing and Resource Budget Adjustments to Reflect the Council’s Key Priorities and Work Program Goals (City Manager on 1/10/15)
32. Sound Transit Long Range Plan (Mid-year) (Staff on 1/15/15)
33. Update Water and Sewer Franchises (Staff on 1/15/15)
34. New Seattle Public Utilities Franchise (Staff on 1/15/15)
35. New Telecom Franchise (Staff on 1/15/15)
Wednesday, January 7, 2015: I attended the ninth Annual Legislative Breakfast at the Mercer Island Community Center with a number of other local elected officials and community leaders sponsored by the Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) Governing Board, Interagency Council and Consumer Advisors. The presentations by Mark Putnam, Executive Director of the CEH, several homeless individuals who have been aided by the CEH and others’ efforts, and various state legislators focused on ways to address the homelessness crisis in our county. The CEH has recently developed a draft Strategic Plan which is available for comment here: http://cehkcstrategicplan.org/. The plan focuses on making homelessness in King County rare, brief, and one-time.

Thursday, January 8, 2015 I attended a meeting of the King County Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C) at the Weyerhaeuser-King County Aquatic Center in Federal Way with elected officials from more than a dozen other King County cities, County Executive Dow Constantine, and other county staff. We were given an overview of the creative energy efficiency implementations at the Aquatic Center and discussed a workplan for K4C focusing on transportation and energy. As you know, our city attorney and manager are working on the final details for our membership in K4C. Hopefully, at a future Council meeting we can discuss our options and commitments as a member of K4C.

Friday, January 9, 2015 As a representative of Burien and Other Cities and Towns, I attended the Regional Food Policy Council meeting at the Puget Sound Regional Council, Seattle. Two Subcommittees have been created as part of the Council’s work on its action plan. One deals with improving access to healthy food and the other examines approaches to enhance the economic viability of local and regional food systems. I am participating in the latter subcommittee. The Council has agreed to meet every other month, with meetings of the Subcommittees in between. The work is expected to generate recommendations for policies and actions that will support a more robust regional food system, serving the needs of all members of our communities.

Wednesday, January 14, 2015 I attended the Sound Cities Association (SCA) Public Issues Committee (PIC) meeting at Renton City Hall. I serve as Burien’s representative and Steve serves as our alternate. Prior to the meeting, a special pre-PIC meeting was held that addressed homelessness, by the Committee to End Homelessness. Many electeds participated and expressed their ongoing concerns about the needs for resources to address this growing problem in the County. The SCA PIC meeting itself welcomed new members, reviewed various appointments to county committees, and reviewed operating principles and upcoming meeting schedules. It also addressed the following policy issues, many of which generated dissent as well as agreement from members of the PIC:

- **Flexibility in Investment for Hotel/Motel Taxes for Affordable Housing:** The Sound Cities Association supports legislation to allow King County to bond against future hotel/motel tax revenue reserved for housing beginning in 2021. To accomplish this, RCW 67.28.180 (3)(i) will need to be amended with a technical fix that specifically authorizes bonding for affordable housing. Such legislation would allow King County to bond against future revenue and allow up to $45 million in new housing funds to be released over the next six years to create and preserve affordable workforce housing in coordination with transit investments. (While this does not directly impact Burien given our lack of hotels/motels - Councilmember Robison may wish to elaborate on the topic as it came from a recommendation of the Joint Recommendations Committee for CDBG (IRC) on which he sits).
- **Principles for operations of sub-state transportation funding options:** The PSRC Transportation Policy Board is discussing options for pursuing transportation funding at a regional level. The discussion focused on operating principles should such an approach be pursued. Authority to pursue sub-state funding must be granted by the state legislature. In reviewing the background materials for this topic, it was shown that many cities have established Transportation Benefit Districts that are funded by local vehicle registration (24 cities) or sales tax (8 cities) fees. In every city listed except Burien the fee is $20. In Burien, it is $10.

- **Update from cities on Council discussions about raising the 1% property tax limit:** A few cities are still in the process of discussing this with their Councils before the SCA PIC takes an official position. As a reminder, our stated position is: “The City of Burien has adopted a position to support new revenue for cities, if a state revenue package is developed.”

- **Upcoming King County ballot measures in 2015**
  - Radio Replacement (for police, fire & other responders)
  - Executive’s announced “Best Start” Initiative (infants and youth)

- **Upcoming Events:**
  - SCA Appointee Orientation – Wednesday, January 28, 2015 – 6:00 PM – SeaTac City Hall
  - SCA Caucus Chair Training – Wednesday, February 4, 2015 – 6:00 PM – Renton City Hall
  - SCA Public Issues Committee Meeting – Wednesday, February 11, 2015 – 7:00 PM – Renton City Hall
  - AWC City Action Days – February 18 – 19, 2015 – Olympia
  - SCA Networking Dinner – Wednesday, April 1, 2015 – 5:30 PM – Renton Pavilion Event Center
Thanks Lucy, will do.

Hi All,
This is an email to update the council on the most recent Soundside Alliance meeting. I am the rep with Steve being the alternate.
The members of this economic development group are Burien, Des Moines, Normandy Park, SeaTac, Tukwila, Highline College, the Port.
We discussed the 2015 meeting schedule, accepted revised by-laws, de-briefed regarding the Soundside Alliance Forecast Breakfast, held at the Museum of Flight - a very successful kick-off event with regional players sharing current projects and forecasts of the region with emphasis on South King County. Each member gave an economic update. The Small Business Development Center is a regular contributor to the discussion. Approved the 2015 budget. Discussed the annual retreat.

Please do not respond to this email. Please call me if you have questions or comments. Thanks, Lucy

PS - Kamuron - for the record, please include this email in the next regular council meeting packet under Council Reports
For Council reports

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Edgar
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 1:16 AM
To: Kamuron Gurol; Council Members
Cc: Dan Trimble
Subject: 2015 Economic Development Forecast Conference

This is an email to update the council on the EDC’s January 13 Economic Development Forecast Conference that I attended with Kamuron and Dan.

Ken Goldstein, Economist, The Conference Board, began the conference, as he did last year, with his forecast of the economics in the Puget Sound region. The economy in 2014 was better than 2013 and 2015 is expected to be better than 2014. However, 2015 is also expected to be better than 2016. He believes that there are a number of "slower" trends in play:

> Slower population growth,
> Slower consumer spending because more money is being diverted into
> savings, Low rate of inflation, China's economy growth is slowing as
> it matures, The size of the middle class is shrinking within the US
> but growing in other parts of the world.

There have been five years of consistent job creation. The next tool that can spur growth is the use of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). There are Federal funds available to aid cities in pursuing FDI.

King County Executive Dow Constantine's key message was that our region does not attract enough FDI and we must market aggressively.

During the "Innovation and Exports" Breakout Session there were discussions on:

> The joint operating agreement between the Pots of Seattle and Tacoma.
  * focus on marine cargo
  * provide a unified, regional marketing and branding
> Developing Foreign Trade Zone (FTZ)
  * becomes "foreign soil"
  * reduces export, import and distribution costs and assesses duties when products are
  sold; not when they are unloaded.
> Methods for recruiting talent
  * high quality schools and universities
  * leveraging the flow visitors to the region through airports and cruise ships, etc
> The importance of a robust transportation infrastructure
  * affects time to market
> Marketing outside the region
  * develop coalitions of regional public and private entities with national and
  international presence to develop unified, regional marketing and branding

Governor Jay Inslee's key points:

> The task at hand is to continue developing an "Economic Climate" in
Washington state The Department of Commerce is a good source of investment opportunities.

The conference ended with a panel discussion between James Billing from Boeing, Preston McAfee from Microsoft and Erik Ristuben from Russell Investments on their views of regional and global economic growth opportunities.

Please do not respond to this email and call me if you have questions or comments.

Kamuron - for the record, please include this email in the next regular council meeting packet under Council Reports.

Thanks,
Bob Edgar